has already been released to all States. The Government of Arunachal Pradesh has reported that the DRDA employees are not being paid salaries and wages for the last eight to ten months due to paucity of funds.

(c) and (d) DRDA being the society of the State Government, the salary structure of its employees is decided by the States. The decision regarding implementation of the Sixth Pay Commission's recommendations in respect of DRDA employees rests with the State Government. Under the DRDA Administration Scheme, administrative cost ceiling is fixed by the Central Government, of which 75% (90% in case of North Eastern States and 100% in case of Union Territories) is shared by the Government of India and 25% in case of non-North Eastern States (10% in case of North Eastern States) is shared by the States. Any increase over and above this ceiling should be met entirely by the State Governments.

(e) There is no delay on the part of the Ministry in release of funds to the DRDAs. The Ministry releases the 1st instalment (which is 50% of the budgeted allocation) at the beginning of the financial year to eligible DRDAs and releases funds for the 2nd instalment on receipt of proposals from the concerned States/DRDAs as per the financial norms. Efforts are being made to get higher allocation under the Scheme from the Planning Commission to meet the salary requirement of DRDA staff as per the requirements indicated by the State Governments.

**Agreement Between Maharashtra and World Bank**

*378. SHRI GOVINDRAO WAMANRAO ADIK:
SHRI SANJAY RAUT:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether agreement has been entered into between the World Bank and the State Government of Maharashtra for the various developmental projects during the last three year; and

(b) if so, the complete details of this agreement?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) and (b) No loan agreement for any new developmental project has been entered into between World Bank and State Government of Maharashtra during the last three years (on or after 1st April 2006).

**Issue of Counterfeit Currency by Banks**

*379. SHRI AVTAR SINGH KARIMPURI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of counterfeit currency notes seized in the country during the last three years, State-wise, district-wise and year-wise;

(b) the total number of complaints registered for seizure of counterfeit currency notes by the banks along with the number of such banks;

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) whether counterfeit currency notes have also been found in the notes issued by banks; and

(d) if so, the details of such banks and the action taken against them?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) As per the information furnished by the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), the total number of counterfeit currency notes seized in the country during the last three years and upto September, 2009, State-wise and year-wise is given in Statement-I (*See* below). The NCRB does not maintain district-wise figures.

(b) Complaints of seizure of counterfeit it currency notes are not registered by banks but by the police authorities. The State-wise number of complaints registered is mentioned at column 7 of the Statement-II (*See* below).

(c) and (d) The Reserve Bank of India has informed that they have received very few complaints of counterfeit currency notes found in the notes issued by banks during the period 2006-07 to 2008-09. The bank-wise details are given in Statement-III (See below). On receipt of complaints, RBI had taken up the matter with the concerned banks by calling for information/evidence and conducting inspections of the branch and verification of cash held where required. Banks were also asked to explain regarding adherence to prescribed procedures and rules in this regard. In most cases either full evidence/information could not be produced by the complainant or inspection revealed that only genuine notes were issued.

***Statement-I***

*Details of Counterfeit currency notes seized in the country*

| Sl. No. | State/UT | Total number of counterfeit currency notes seized | | | | Total complaints registered |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | Upto September, 2009 | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 44433 | 36961 | 15620 | 16681 | 1154 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 51 | 233 | 1 | 283 | 24 |
| 3. | Assam | 5470 | 5578 | 2912 | 1887 | 342 |
| 4. | Bihar | 13108 | 2207 | 2091 | 1063 | 125 |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | 969 | 4625 | 2770 | 2174 | 177 |
| 6. | Goa | 94 | 381 | 1685 | 1535 | 55 |
| 7. | Gujarat | 12991 | 14746 | 9042 | 13777 | 802 |
| 8. | Haryana | 1716 | 2267 | 1501 | 1335 | 118 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 33 | 161 | 698 | 173 | 24 |
| 10. | Jammu and Kashmir | 5482 | 2120 | 1198 | 2071 | 114 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 530 | 1327 | 1374 | 367 | 69 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 24245 | 17,459 | 9337 | 1951 | 343 |
| 13. | Kerala | 5130 | 8740 | 6588 | 19057 | 220 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 1961 | 5504 | 9021 | 656 | 124 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 32475 | 10673 | 25977 | 13561 | 926 |
| 16. | Manipur | 259 | 312 | 32 | 0 | 18 |
| 17. | Meghalaya | 210 | 371 | 270 | 160 | 30 |
| 18. | Mizoram | 298 | 2529 | 5521 | 139 | 47 |
| 19. | Nagaland | 2227 | 937 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| 20. | Orissa | 3822 | 2001 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 21. | Punjab | 17611 | 12445 | 10,759 | 7684 | 157 |
| 22. | Rajasthan | 11,043 | 11392 | 8551 | 1570 | 175 |
| 23. | Sikkim | 1 | 50 | 0 | 15 | 4 |
| 24. | Tamil Nadu | 10,543 | 4304 | 11634 | 12567 | 942 |
| 25. | Tripura | 104 | 1105 | 671 | 1684 | 66 |
| 26. | Uttar Pradesh | 36,099 | 53596 | 54350 | 4190 | 867 |
| 27. | Uttarakhand | 1674 | 3623 | 1707 | 946 | 106 |
| 28. | West Bengal | 5275 | 122402 | 25090 | 20300 | 498 |
| 29. | Andaman and Nicobar Islands | 62 | 1 | 204 | 1 | 8 |
| 30. | Chandigarh | 38 | 9 | 0 | 172 | 9 |
| 31. | Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 33 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 32. | Daman and Diu | 130 | 43 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 33. | Delhi | 12778 | 3229 | 8693 | 5075 | 85 |
| 34. | Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35. | Puducherry | 48 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| | TOTAL | 250943 | 231338 | 217328 | 131098 | 7694 |

***Statement-II***

*State-wise number of complaints registered*

| Year | No. of complaints received. | Bank-wise details | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2006-07 | 5 | SBI | — | 3 |
| | | ICICI Bank | — | 1 |
| | | Vijaya Bank | — | 1 |
| 2007-08 | 14 | UCO Bank | — | 1 |
| | | Union Bank of India | — | 2 |
| | | SBI | — | 4 |
| | | Bank of Baroda | — | 1 |
| | | Complaints not Specifying Bank | — | 3 |
| | | Bombay Mercantile Cooperative Bank | — | 1 |
| | | Canara Bank | — | 1 |
| | | Bank of India | — | 1 |
| 2008-09 | 9 | Axis Bank | — | 2 |
| | | HDFC | — | 2 |
| | | Corporation Bank | — | 1 |
| | | SBI | — | 2 |
| | | Punjab National Bank | — | 1 |
| | | Indusind Bank | — | 1 |

**"Payment Based Inflation Crisis"**

*380. SHRI PRABHAT JHA:
SHRI KAPTAN SINGH SOLANKI:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has issued a warning that a payment based inflation crisis could emerge in the country owing to constant rise in consumer prices;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the steps taken by Government so far, to prevent this imminent crisis;

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.